

Landowner views: NQMS

- Welcomed: Raised standards and consistent regular engagement
- Position Statements are critical driver
- Informed Clients do not need a voluntary NQMS
- Detail of Position Statements is key
 - Real time spot review or post-declaration audit
 - Better regulation v Regulatory burden
- Other comments
 - Review/verification role – PI concerns
 - Declaration not linked to relevant reports
 - Roles and responsibilities for complaints process

NQMS, SILC and the next steps

- Clear alignment between SILC and NQMS
- First time a 'driver' has existed to give the SILC role greater purpose
- Now or never ?
- NQMS delivery risks
 - Uncertainty about Planning Authorities adoption
 - Wider concerns about SILC's role
 - SILC's ability to align itself to a delivery model